

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/910,115	08/13/1997	BAYCHAR	BAY-310	2266
24956 7	7590 02/02/2006		EXAM	INER
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		1771	•	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
08/910,115	BAYCHAR,	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Cheryl Juska	1771	

Defers the Eiling of an Annual Drief			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cheryl Juska	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mug date of the final rejection.	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 September 2005</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replementation.)</li> </ol>	)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid (	dismissal of the
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d)  They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	corresponding number of finally rej		the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	7 77	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-4,7,17,21,23,24</u>, separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allow</li> </ol>	45,46,52,53,89,90 and 106-109 wo		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			,
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul> <li>12.   Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13.   Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s). <u>09/05, 01/06</u>	
		Cheryl Juska Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 22 renders said claim a duplicate of claim 21. As such, the amendment cannot be entered.

The amendment to the specification is not sufficient to overcome the objection thereto. First, said amendment is to the paragraph bridging pages 3-4 as submitted in the substitute specification filed 02/24/05. However, said substitute specification has not been entered. Secondly, there are trademarks other than LYCRA that have not been properly identified with generic terminology.

Continuation of 13. Other: Note the IDS's have not been considered since they are not proper submissions according to Rule 1.97(e).

CHERY A. JUSTA PRIMARY EXAMINER

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
08/910,115	BAYCHAR,	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Cheryl Juska	1771	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>01 January 1106</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

·	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	<b>;</b>
<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li>☒ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li>☒ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	
For first an englanding of the assent and for a track of the ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC ACC AC	

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf</a>.

#### TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

<u>Extensions of time</u> are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

### Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

**Abandonment** of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Continuation of 1(c) Other: Amendment is made to the unentered substitute specification of 02/24/05 rather than the originally filed specification.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Claim 53 should be identified as "withdrawn--currently amended" rather than as "currently amended.".

CHERYKA. JUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINER